

Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard

Attestation of Compliance for Report on Compliance – Service Providers

Version 4.0

Revision 1 Publication Date: December 2022



PCI DSS v4.0 Attestation of Compliance for Report on Compliance – Service Providers

Entity Name: GRUPO CONEKTAME S.A. DE C.V.

Assessment End Date: November 25th, 2023

Date of Report as noted in the Report on Compliance: September 05th, 2023



Section 1 Assessment Information

Instructions for Submission

This Attestation of Compliance (AOC) must be completed as a declaration of the results of the service provider's assessment against the *Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard (PCI DSS) Requirements and Testing Procedures (*"Assessment"). Complete all sections. The service provider is responsible for ensuring that each section is completed by the relevant parties, as applicable. Contact the entity(ies) to which this AOC will be submitted for reporting and submission procedures.

This AOC reflects the results documented in an associated Report on Compliance (ROC). Associated ROC sections are noted in each AOC Part/Section below.

Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined in this document have the meanings set forth in the PCI DSS Report on Compliance Template.

Part 1. Contact Information				
Part 1a. Assessed Entity (ROC Section 1.1)				
Company name:	GRUPO CONEKTAME S.A. DE C.V.			
DBA (doing business as):	Conekta			
Company mailing address:	Moliere 310 Piso 4–4018, Polanco I Sección, Miguel Hidalgo, 11510, CDMX, México			
Company main website:	www.conekta.com			
Company contact name:	Jhon Triana			
Company contact title:	Security Manager			
Contact phone number:	e number: +(52) 55 41571416			
Contact e-mail address:	John.triana@conekta.com			
Part 1b. Assessor (ROC Section 1.1)				
Provide the following information for al assessor type, enter Not Applicable.	I assessors involved in the Assessment. If there was no assessor for a given			
PCI SSC Internal Security Assessor(s)			
ISA name(s):	Not Applicable			
Qualified Security Assessor				
Company name:	Nordstern Cybersecurity Service, S.A. de C.V.			
Company mailing address:	Londres 40, Juárez, Cuauhtémoc, 06600, CDMX, México.			
Company website:	https://www.nordsterntech.com/			
Lead Assessor name:	Alejandro Gil Rosalio			
Assessor phone number:	+(52) 55 1025 9873			



Assessor e-mail address:	agil@nordsterntech.com		
Assessor certificate number:	206-411		
Part 2. Executive Summary			
Part 2a. Scope Verification			
Services that were <u>INCLUDED</u> in t	he scope of the Assessment (select	all that apply):	
Name of service(s) assessed:	Payment Switch		
Type of service(s) assessed:			
Hosting Provider:	Managed Services:	Payment Processing:	
Applications / software	Systems security services	POI / card present	
Hardware	☐ IT support	Internet / e-commerce	
Infrastructure / Network MOTO / Call Ce			
Physical space (co-location)			
Storage	Other services (specify):	Other processing (specify):	
Web-hosting services	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	
Security services			
3-D Secure Hosting Provider			
Multi-Tenant Service Provider			
Other Hosting (specify):			
Not Applicable			
Account Management	Fraud and Chargeback	Payment Gateway/Switch	
Back-Office Services	Issuer Processing	Prepaid Services	
Billing Management	Loyalty Programs	Records Management	
Clearing and Settlement	Merchant Services	Tax/Government Payments	
Network Provider			
Others (specify): Not Applicable			

Note: These categories are provided for assistance only and are not intended to limit or predetermine an entity's service description. If these categories do not apply to the assessed service, complete "Others." If it is not clear whether a category could apply to the assessed service, consult with the entity(ies) to which this AOC will be submitted.



transmits account data.

Part 2a. Scope Verification (continue	ed)			
Services that are provided by the se Assessment (select all that apply):	rvice provider but	were <u>NOT INCLU</u>	I <u>DED</u> in the scope of the	
Name of service(s) not assessed:	Referenced payments in convenience stores			
Type of service(s) not assessed:	1			
Hosting Provider: Applications / software Hardware Infrastructure / Network Physical space (co-location) Storage Web-hosting services Security services 3-D Secure Hosting Provider Multi-Tenant Service Provider Other Hosting (specify):	Managed Service Systems secur Prysical securi Terminal Mana Other services	ity services ity gement System	Payment Processing: □ POI / card present □ Internet / e-commerce □ MOTO / Call Center □ ATM ⊠ Other processing (specify): Referenced payments in convenience stores	
Account Management	Fraud and Cha	rgeback	Payment Gateway/Switch	
Back-Office Services	Suer Process	ing	Prepaid Services	
Billing Management	Loyalty Program	ms	Records Management	
Clearing and Settlement	Merchant Serv	ices	Tax/Government Payments	
Network Provider			1	
Others (specify):	1			
Provide a brief explanation why any checked services were not included in the Assessment:		Conekta offers referenced payment service in convenience stores with deposits to bank accounts, no account or card holder data is used and the infrastructure is separate.		
Part 2b. Description of Role with Pay (ROC Section 2.1) Describe how the business stores, prod			s and transmits transactions as an	

Cards.

intermediary payment gateway for e-commerce

• Store card data (PAN) truncated to provide the services of clearing and settlement and chargebacks

processes for their affiliated merchants.

transactions (card not present), provides connectivity services to merchants to accept card payments for Visa, MasterCard & American Express Credit and Debit



	• Conekta also provides referenced payments with direct deposits for bank accounts using reference numbers over convenience stores such as OXXO.
Describe how the business is otherwise involved in or has the ability to impact the security of its customers' account data.	Conekta processes transactions as a payment gateway for e-commerce services (card not present) for Visa, MasterCard and American Express, from customers who have implemented Conekta API on their pages, this connection is encrypted using TLS 1.2, ECDHE_RSA with SHA- 256 and AES_128_GCM, transmitting card data over the internet PAN, CVC, expiration date, name, and amount of transaction collected securely from the web pages from the merchant. Conekta shares the information with the anti-fraud service in an encrypted and authenticated way to verify the veracity of the request (BIN, name, expiration date and transaction details). The transaction is sent to the payment processor such as Prosa, Amex and Banorte with a connection VPN site-to-site for authorization or rejection.
Describe system components that could impact the security of account data.	Conekta does not maintain networks that may affect the processing, transmission or storage of card data, and their operation is properly controlled and monitored.

rt 2c. Description of Payment Card Environment			
ovide a high-level description of the environment covered by s Assessment.	Conekta has infrastructure outside the CDE and connections with Prosa, Banorte and		
r example:	American Express network to process		
Connections into and out of the cardholder data environment (CDE).	transactions through a site-to-site connection VPN. The transactions and the		
Critical system components within the CDE, such as POI devices, databases, web servers, etc., and any other necessary payment components, as applicable.	clearing, settlement and refund processes are through HTTPS (TLS v1.2) tunnel. API application payment interface over Linux		
System components that could impact the security of account data.	servers use HTTPS (TLSv 1.2) tunnel in the Amazon Web Services infrastructure. The DATAVAULT is application that used as a service for the storage of PAN in the tokenized and encrypted databases (Dynamo and Mongo). All infrastructure is hosted on Amazon AWS		
licate whether the environment includes segmentation to rec	which is in compliance with PCI-DSS.		
licate whether the environment includes segmentation to rec sessment.			

(Refer to the "Segmentation" section of PCI DSS for guidance on segmentation)



Part 2d. In-Scope Locations/Facilities (ROC Section 4.6)

List all types of physical locations/facilities (for example, corporate offices, data centers, call centers and mail rooms) in scope for this Assessment.

Facility Type	Total Number of Locations (How many locations of this type are in scope)	Location(s) of Facility (city, country)
Example: Data centers	3	Boston, MA, USA
AWS	1	N. Virginia, USA



Part 2e. PCI SSC Validated Products and Solutions (ROC Section 3.3)

Does the entity use any item identified on any PCI SSC Lists of Validated Products and Solutions*?

🗌 Yes 🛛 No

Provide the following information regarding each item the entity uses from PCI SSC's Lists of Validated Products and Solutions:

Name of PCI SSC- validated Product or Solution	Version of Product or Solution	PCI SSC Standard to which Product or Solution Was Validated	PCI SSC Listing Reference Number	Expiry Date of Listing
Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
				YYYY-MM-DD

* For purposes of this document, "Lists of Validated Products and Solutions" means the lists of validated products, solutions, and/or components, appearing on the PCI SSC website (www.pcisecuritystandards.org) (for example, 3DS Software Development Kits, Approved PTS Devices, Validated Payment Software, Payment Applications (PA-DSS), Point to Point Encryption (P2PE) solutions, Software-Based PIN Entry on COTS (SPoC) solutions, and Contactless Payments on COTS (CPoC) solutions).



Part 2f. Third-Party Service Providers

(ROC Section 4.4)

For the services being validated, does the entity have relationships with one or more thirdparty service providers that:

•	Store, process, or transmit account data on the entity's behalf (for example, payment gateways, payment processors, payment service providers (PSPs, and off-site storage))	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
•	Manage system components included in the entity's Assessment (for example, via	🛛 Yes 🗌 No

network security control services, anti-malware services, security incident and event management (SIEM), contact and call centers, web-hosting companies, and IaaS, PaaS, SaaS, and FaaS cloud providers)		
Manage system components included in the entity's Assessment (for example, via		
	network security control services, anti-malware services, security incident and event management (SIEM), contact and call centers, web-hosting companies, and IaaS, PaaS,	network security control services, anti-malware services, security incident and event management (SIEM), contact and call centers, web-hosting companies, and IaaS, PaaS,

• Could impact the security of the entity's CDE (for example, vendors providing support via remote access, and/or bespoke software developers).

If Yes:

Web services and cloud infrastructure.
EC2, S3, VPC, ElasticCache, DynamoDB, Aurora
Scale MongoDB in the Cloud
SIEM
Payment aggregator
MDM personal equipment of associates
Code scanning tool
External scan tool
Falcon Complete XDR
IDaaS service
Zscaler Private Access - Cloud-Delivered Zero Trust Network Access (ZTNA)
Internal/external pentesting



Part 2g. Summary of Assessment (ROC Section 1.8.1)

Indicate below all responses provided within each principal PCI DSS requirement.

PCI DSS Requirement	Requirement Finding More than one response may be selected for a given requirement. Indicate all responses that apply.			Select If Below Method(s) Was Used		
Requirement	In Place	Not Applicable	Not Tested	Not in Place	Customized Approach	Compensating Controls
Requirement 1:						
Requirement 2:						
Requirement 3:	\boxtimes					
Requirement 4:	\boxtimes					
Requirement 5:	\boxtimes					
Requirement 6:	\boxtimes					
Requirement 7:	\boxtimes					
Requirement 8:	\boxtimes					
Requirement 9:	\boxtimes					
Requirement 10:						
Requirement 11:	\boxtimes					
Requirement 12:	\boxtimes					
Appendix A1:						
Appendix A2:						



Section 2 Report on Compliance

(ROC Sections 1.2 and 1.3.2)

Date Assessment began: <i>Note:</i> This is the first date that evidence was gath	September 05th, 2023		
Date Assessment ended: <i>Note:</i> This is the last date that evidence was gath	November 25th, 2023		
Were any requirements in the ROC unable to be r	met due to a legal cor	nstraint?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
Were any testing activities performed remotely? If yes, for each testing activity below, indicate whe performed:	🛛 Yes 🗌 No		
Examine documentation	🛛 Yes	🗌 No	
Interview personnel			
Examine/observe live data			
Observe process being performed			
Observe physical environment			
Interactive testing			
Other: Not Applicable	🗌 Yes	🗌 No	



Section 3 Validation and Attestation Details

Part 3. PCI DSS Validation (ROC Section 1.7)

This AOC is based on results noted in the ROC dated (Date of Report as noted in the ROC November 25th, 2023).

Indicate below whether a full or partial PCI DSS assessment was completed:

☑ Full Assessment – All requirements have been assessed and therefore no requirements were marked as Not Tested in the ROC.

Based on the results documented in the ROC noted above, each signatory identified in any of Parts 3b-3d, as applicable, assert(s) the following compliance status for the entity identified in Part 2 of this document (select one):

Image: Non-Compliant: Not all sections of the PCI DSS ROC are complete, or one or more requirements are marked as Not in Place, resulting in an overall NON-COMPLIANT rating; thereby (Service Provider Company Name) has not demonstrated compliance with PCI DSS requirements. Target Date for Compliance: YYYY-MM-DD An entity submitting this form with a Non-Compliant status may be required to complete the Action Plan in Part 4 of this document. Confirm with the entity to which this AOC will be submitted before completing Part 4. Image: Compliant but with Legal exception: One or more assessed requirements in the ROC are marked as Not in Place due to a legal restriction that prevents the requirement from being met and all other assessed requirements are marked as being either In Place or Not Applicable, resulting in an overall COMPLIANT BUT WITH LEGAL EXCEPTION rating; thereby (Service Provider Company Name) has demonstrated compliance with all PCI DSS requirements except those noted as Not Tested above or as Not in Place due to a legal restriction. This option requires additional review from the entity to which this AOC will be submitted. If selected, complete the following: Affected Requirement Details of how legal constraint prevents requirement from being met	Compliant: All sections of the PCI DSS ROC are complete, and all assessed requirements are marked as being either In Place or Not Applicable, resulting in an overall COMPLIANT rating; thereby Nordstern Cybersecurity Service, S.A. de C.V. has demonstrated compliance with all PCI DSS requirements except those noted as Not Tested above.				
An entity submitting this form with a Non-Compliant status may be required to complete the Action Plan in Part 4 of this document. Confirm with the entity to which this AOC will be submitted before completing Part 4.Compliant but with Legal exception: One or more assessed requirements in the ROC are marked as Not in Place due to a legal restriction that prevents the requirement from being met and all other assessed requirements are marked as being either In Place or Not Applicable, resulting in an overall COMPLIANT BUT WITH LEGAL EXCEPTION rating; thereby (Service Provider Company Name) has demonstrated compliance with all PCI DSS requirements except those noted as Not Tested above or as Not in Place due to a legal restriction. This option requires additional review from the entity to which this AOC will be submitted. If selected, complete the following:Affected RequirementDetails of how legal constraint prevents requirement from being met	marked as Not in Place, resulting in an overall NON-COMPLIANT rating; thereby (Service Provider				
in Part 4 of this document. Confirm with the entity to which this AOC will be submitted before completing Part 4. Compliant but with Legal exception: One or more assessed requirements in the ROC are marked as Not in Place due to a legal restriction that prevents the requirement from being met and all other assessed requirements are marked as being either In Place or Not Applicable, resulting in an overall COMPLIANT BUT WITH LEGAL EXCEPTION rating; thereby (Service Provider Company Name) has demonstrated compliance with all PCI DSS requirements except those noted as Not Tested above or as Not in Place due to a legal restriction. This option requires additional review from the entity to which this AOC will be submitted. If selected, complete the following: Affected Requirement Details of how legal constraint prevents requirement from being met	Target Date for Compliance: YYYY-MM-DD				
as Not in Place due to a legal restriction that prevents the requirement from being met and all other assessed requirements are marked as being either In Place or Not Applicable, resulting in an overall COMPLIANT BUT WITH LEGAL EXCEPTION rating; thereby (Service Provider Company Name) has demonstrated compliance with all PCI DSS requirements except those noted as Not Tested above or as Not in Place due to a legal restriction.This option requires additional review from the entity to which this AOC will be submitted. If selected, complete the following:Affected RequirementDetails of how legal constraint prevents requirement from being met	in Part 4 of this document. Confirm with the entity to which this AOC will be submitted before				
	as Not in Place due to a legal restriction that prevents the requirement from being met and all consistent of assessed requirements are marked as being either In Place or Not Applicable, resulting in an of COMPLIANT BUT WITH LEGAL EXCEPTION rating; thereby <i>(Service Provider Company Nar</i>) demonstrated compliance with all PCI DSS requirements except those noted as Not Tested ab as Not in Place due to a legal restriction. This option requires additional review from the entity to which this AOC will be submitted.				
Not Applicable Not Applicable	Affected Requirement	Details of how legal constraint prevents requirement from being met			
	Not Applicable	Not Applicable			

[□] Partial Assessment – One or more requirements have not been assessed and were therefore marked as Not Tested in the ROC. Any requirement not assessed is noted as Not Tested in Part 2g above.



Part 3a. Service Provider Acknowledgement

Signatory(s) confirms:

(Select all that apply)

\boxtimes	The ROC was completed according to <i>PCI DSS</i> , Version 4.0 and was completed according to the instructions therein.
	All information within the above-referenced ROC and in this attestation fairly represents the results of the Assessment in all material respects.
\boxtimes	PCI DSS controls will be maintained at all times, as applicable to the entity's environment.

Part 3b. Service Provider Attestation	
=	trucking .
Signature of Service Provider Executive Officer $ earrow$	Date: November 25th, 2023
Service Provider Executive Officer Name: Jhon Triana	Title: Security Manager

Part 3c. Qualified Security Assessor (QSA) Acknowledgement				
If a QSA was involved or assisted with this Assessment, indicate the role performed:	\boxtimes QSA performed testing procedures.			
Assessment, indicate the fole performed.	QSA provided other assistance.			
	If selected, describe all role(s) performed: Assistance was provided on the roles and risks in what the standard requires in detail to assume the risks and business operations that were taken in the interview phase and process.			

Signature of Lead QSA <i>↑</i>	Date: Not Applicable			
Lead QSA Name: Not Applicable				
	Aly dent the			
Signature of Duly Authorized Officer of QSA Company \checkmark	Date: November 25th, 2023			
Duly Authorized Officer Name: Alejandro Gil Rosalio	QSA Company: Nordstern Cybersecurity Service, S.A. de C.V.			

Part 3d. PCI SSC Internal Security Assessor (ISA) Involvement				
If an ISA(s) was involved or assisted with this Assessment, indicate the role performed:	☐ ISA(s) performed testing procedures.			
Assessment, indicate the role performed.	☐ ISA(s) provided other assistance.			



If selected, describe all role(s) performed: Not Applicable



Part 4. Action Plan for Non-Compliant Requirements

Only complete Part 4 upon request of the entity to which this AOC will be submitted, and only if the Assessment has Non-Compliant results noted in Section 3.

If asked to complete this section, select the appropriate response for "Compliant to PCI DSS Requirements" for each requirement below. For any "No" responses, include the date the entity expects to be compliant with the requirement and provide a brief description of the actions being taken to meet the requirement.

PCI DSS Requirement	Description of Requirement	Compliant to PCI DSS Requirements (Select One)		Remediation Date and Actions (If "NO" selected for any
		YES	NO	Requirement)
1	Install and maintain network security controls			
2	Apply secure configurations to all system components			
3	Protect stored account data			
4	Protect cardholder data with strong cryptography during transmission over open, public networks			
5	Protect all systems and networks from malicious software			
6	Develop and maintain secure systems and software			
7	Restrict access to system components and cardholder data by business need to know			
8	Identify users and authenticate access to system components			
9	Restrict physical access to cardholder data			
10	Log and monitor all access to system components and cardholder data			
11	Test security systems and networks regularly			
12	Support information security with organizational policies and programs			
Appendix A1	Additional PCI DSS Requirements for Multi- Tenant Service Providers			
Appendix A2	Additional PCI DSS Requirements for Entities using SSL/early TLS for Card- Present POS POI Terminal Connections			

